

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/708,658	03/17/2004	Rodrick A. HERDMAN	EZL-001M	2657	
26868 HASSE & NE	7590 03/07/2008 SBITT LLC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
8837 CHAPEI	. SQUARE DRIVE	GALL, LLOYD A			
SUITE C CINCINNATI	OH 45249	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3673		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/708,658	HERDMAN, RODRICK A.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Lloyd A. Gall	3673						

	Lloyd A. Gall	3673	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following I application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTH'S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(if	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period city under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the se set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pepty received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4.45-55.60-67.75.77 and 78. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13. Other: All double patenting rejections would be withdraw			
and page 14, lines 5 and 22, it is submitted that with respect to set forth that a change ball is located in all retainer cavities. It is			

and page 14, lines 5 and 22, it is submitted that with respect to "any" and "all", it is noted that the independent claims do not positively set forth that a chance ball is located in all retainer cavities. It is further submitted that the numerous chance tools of the Monahan reference teach using a bissing surface to remove a member in whatever retainer cavities are to be chosen to receive a change member. New claims 77 and 78 would be included in the prior at rejection set forth on page 4, line 1 of the Final rejection. It is submitted that the Monahan reference teaches moving at least the center of the shims above the shear line, and the combination of the Monahan and EP (124) references are regarded as meeting the limitations of claims 77 and 78.

/Lloyd A. Gall/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3673 February 27, 2008

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080227